

**BENCHMARKING THE RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY
OF ACCOUNTING DOCTORATES**

James R. Hasselback
University of West Florida

Alan Reinstein
Wayne State University

Mohammad Abdolmohammadi
Bentley University

February 22, 2011

BENCHMARKING THE RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY OF ACCOUNTING DOCTORATES

ABSTRACT

Major changes in accounting academe demonstrate the need for reliable benchmarks of accounting faculty members' research productivity. Updating some of our prior work, this study examines the publication records of 5,604 accounting doctoral graduates who wrote articles in 40 highly ranked journals from 1971-2009 and takes into account coauthorship and journal quality. This study presents publication benchmarks for what many accounting researchers would consider the *Best Three*, *Best 13*, *Best 24*, and *Best 40* journals, which often affect tenure, promotion, merit pay, appointment and renewal of chaired professorships, as well as other resource allocation decisions. We also list the Top 10 researchers for each year in these 40 journals among those earning accounting doctoral degrees from years 1971 – 2005.

Keywords: Benchmark, Research Productivity, Faculty Recruiting

BENCHMARKING THE RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY OF ACCOUNTING DOCTORATES

1. Introduction.

The accounting literature contains several benchmarking papers that use accounting faculty research productivity to rank accounting programs (e.g., Hasselback and Reinstein 1995; Glover et al. 2006); doctoral-granting programs (e.g., Everett et al. 2004, Chan et al. 2007) and individuals (e.g., Brown and Gardner 1985A; Hasselback et al., 2000 and 2003). More recently, Coyne, Pickerd, Stephens, Summers, Williams and Wood offered a series of three studies [Coyne et al. (2010); Pickerd et al. (2011); Stephens et al. (Stephens, 2011)] that develop benchmarks for doctoral programs and individuals by topical area and research methodology.

Such benchmarks form critical evidence for (1) promotion and tenure [P&T] and merit pay purposes; (2) student selection of appropriate doctoral programs; and (3) compilations by accounting programs of slates of doctoral recruiting schools. But focusing on individual research benchmarks (especially on the top researchers by year of graduation) can also provide evidence on (1) the research productivity of faculty members' national peers (e.g., to help them set their personal research goals); (2) criteria to award new faculty members associate professor or full professor rank and/or tenure; and (3) standards for selecting or retaining chaired professorships.

Hasselback's *Accounting Faculty Directory 2010-2011* shows that U.S. accounting doctoral programs produced annually about 200 graduates from 1991-1994; about 113 from 2000 – 2003; and about 140 from 2004 – 2008. The time and cost invested in earning such degrees probably help explain these lower enrollments, while anecdotal evidence shows faculty members facing ever-greater research requirements to earn P&T.¹

¹ The business world is aware of the threat: the American Institute of CPAs Foundation's (2009) Accounting Doctoral Scholars program received \$16.5 million from 65 CPA firms, 40 State CPA Societies, and others to help fund accounting doctoral education.

Research benchmarks are especially important in light of American Assembly of Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB, 2010) guidelines asking member schools to adhere to their mission statements, including research productivity standards that have led many accounting programs to develop journal ranking lists.² Lucertini et al. (1995) urge schools to seek benchmarks to “continuously search, measure, and compare” their competitors’ best practices.

The accounting literature contains benchmark studies that rank research outlets or examine research productivity, generally showing three types of research productivity benchmarks: (1) qualitative rank-ordering of accounting and related journals, (2) quantitative measures of total and average research productivity of faculty, and (3) quantitative measures of total and average research productivity of faculty according to where they earned their doctoral degrees. But such studies generally face questions on (1) which journals to count and how many points to assign to each one; (2) whether to consider coauthors, and, if so, whether to give each coauthor full or proportional (e.g., one-third credit for a three-person article); and (3) how to measure time in grade.

Hasselback and Reinstein [H&R] (1995A; 1995B) and Hasselback, Reinstein, and Schwan [HRS] (2000; 2003) examined about 40 journals for up to 30 years, considering each coauthor’s full and partial credit research output to develop benchmarks for individuals, schools, and doctoral granting programs. But due to falling doctoral enrollments and the eight-year gap in reporting benchmarks, we have updated our results. We now examine the quality and quantity of research productivity of the 5,604 doctoral accounting faculty who graduated from a U.S. accounting doctoral program between 1971 and 2005, and who published their work in 40 highly rated accounting and business journals. We assign quality weights to the journals using methodologies of similar studies and discuss implications of our findings.

² Lewis (2008) notes that the AACSB International found that about 40% of its members created internal journal

2. Literature Review.

Benchmark studies first must determine which journals to consider and appropriate journal weights. Benjamin and Brenner (1974), Brown and Heffner (1994), Hall and Ross (1991), Howard and Nikolai (1983), Hull and Wright (1990), Jolly et al. (1995), Reinstein and Calderon (2006), Herron and Hall (2005), Barniv and Fetyko (2007), and others have developed scales to rank accounting research journals, by surveying accounting faculty, administrators, or practitioners to assess the quality of academic and practitioner journals. Some studies (e.g., Herron and Hall, 2005) have also developed benchmarks by accounting research discipline (e.g., auditing and tax).

Bean and Bernardi (2005) used age, journal, acceptance rates, and audience to assess journal quality, which Matherly and Shortridge (2009) improved upon by including journal Social Science Index (SSCI) scores, submission fees, availability on electronic search engines (e.g., *ABI-Inform*), and page length. Barniv and Fetyko (2007) developed their rankings by combining six other studies with their own survey.

Everett et al. (2004) ranked U.S. doctoral programs based upon the proportion of tenured and tenure-track faculty members' 1992-1996 publications in 30 highly rated academic accounting journals. Herron and Hall (2005) ranked top accounting journals by surveying 616 accounting faculty nationwide and compared their results to prior studies. Coyne et al. (2010) examined the research productivity of all faculty publishing in 11 high-quality accounting journals from 1990 through 2009 to help rank the top accounting doctoral programs, and Pickerd et al. (2011) used a similar methodology to rank individual faculty members by topical area and methodology. HRS (2000; 2003) used several of these studies to rank 40 journals into four strata.

Benchmarks of faculty research productivity use three general methodologies: (1) count articles written; (2) perform citation studies; or (3) survey key constituents (e.g., faculty members, or deans) to assess faculty research. All three have problems.

Dwyer (1994), Zivney et al. (1995), Glover et al. (2006), Stephens et al. (2011), and Coyne et al. (2010) count the number of a faculty member's or program's publications to rate programs but assess the published material's quantity, not its quality, and they include only articles appearing in prestigious journals. Problems also arise in identifying and justifying which journals to "count" and whether to (1) consider notes, letters to the editors, and other types of published works; (2) give full or partial credit (or disclose both results) for coauthored articles; and (3) allow publication credit to the faculty member's present institution or to the affiliation when the article was written.

Englebrecht et al. (2008) analyzed the 1979-2004 coauthorship patterns for eight premier accounting and four premier non-accounting and business journals. They found increased coauthorship rates over time and that coauthorship within premier non-accounting business journals has long exceeded that of accounting journals. But they only considered 12 journals and did not calculate individual yearly research output. Heck and Danielson (2010) examined the publication patterns of the authors of 15 "high-impact" accounting journals, finding that the same sets of authors dominate both the first- and second-tier of such journals, and listed the top authors in each of these 15 journals. But they ignored the authors' time in grade, thereby placing more experienced authors generally ahead of less experienced ones.

On the other hand, citation analysis measures how often articles, authors, or journals are referenced ("cited") in other articles, presuming that high quality articles and journals are cited more often than low quality ones. Garfield (1955) developed this methodology to track an article's "history." Technological advances have enabled extensive progress using this method: SSCI data bases will find how often one SSCI-listed article cites another such referenced work.

But problems exist with this database; for example, it considers only about ten accounting journals, ignoring, for example, the *Journal of the American Tax Association* but including *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*.

McRae (1974) first used citation analysis on accounting publications to measure the frequency of citations of 17 articles, while Brown and Gardner (1985A) used it to assess the research contributions of accounting faculty and doctoral programs. Brown and Gardner (1985A) and Chan et al. (2009) also used it to measure the impact of high level publications.

Citation frequency is presumed to have the valued attribute of objectivity—either an article is cited or it is not, ignoring the article's quality or reasons for making the citation. But the author's reputation, the contentiousness of the subject matter, and the journal's circulation, coverage, and timeliness can influence citation frequency. Further, the validity of citation analysis depends greatly on the representativeness of the publications used to conduct the frequency analysis of cited works. Reinstein et al. (2011) point out many problems in over-relying on citation counts.

Faculty, administrators, or practitioners have completed surveys to assess academic and practitioner journal quality. Questions arise, however, on accounting for non-response bias; the proper ordinal, interval, or ratio scales to use in ranking journals relative to an “anchor;” and whether respondents can competently assess the journals listed.

H&R (1995A; 1995B) and HRS (2000; 2003) addressed many of these issues in assessing individual and accounting and doctoral programs' quality based upon publication records in 40 accounting and business journals. Their comprehensive accounting faculty benchmarks relate publication expectations to both the quantity of articles and quality of journals. They based journal quality on a composite of five other studies; weighted their results by the number of coauthors and

journal quality to develop a quality composite index; and considered all 2,708 1978-1992 graduates from 73 U.S. doctoral programs.

Stephens et al. (2011) examined the research records of all 1990 to 2000 accounting doctoral graduates writing in 11 major academic journals for both their first three and first six years after graduation to rank doctoral programs, and further ranked the programs by examining the authors' topical and research methodologies. But they weighed all of these 11 journals equally and ignored that larger programs have more graduates available to publish than do those of smaller programs.

3. Methodology of Current Study.

To analyze the research productivity of all 5,604 U.S. accounting doctoral programs 1971 – 2005 graduates, we first reviewed HRS' (2000; 2003) methodologies to select and rank the journals to include in our study. We examined no post-2005 graduates in order to give them time to amass strong research records. We then reassessed this database of 30 academic, five business, and five practitioner journals by reviewing Glover et al. (2006), Barniv and Fetyko (2007), Chan et al. (2008), Matherly and Shortridge (2009), and other new ranking studies.

The changes from the 2003 list include (1) replacing *Journal of Business*, which ceased publication; with *Decision Sciences*; (2) adding *Review of Accounting Studies*, since *Advances in Accounting* and *Advances in International Accounting* merged into one journal; (3) replacing *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability* and *Accounting & Finance* with the *Journal of International Accounting Auditing & Tax* and the *Accounting Historians Journal* in the lowest category; and (4) moving *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory* from Category 3 to 2 and *Accounting Horizons* from Category 4 to 3. Exhibit 1 separates the 40 journals into nine clusters and assigns each journal in a cluster of the average quality ranking of that cluster, as Morris et al. [1990] and Glover et al. [2006] did. We also list each journal's publication period.

[PLEASE PLACE EXHIBIT 1 ABOUT HERE]

To identify each faculty member's published journal articles, we created a database of journals, authors, and publication dates from each of the 40 selected journal's tables of contents through 2009. We resolved problems such as author name changes, author misspellings, using initials rather than first names, and cases where authors shared the same name by checking the actual articles or author vitas. We adjusted individual faculty publication records for coauthorship and journal quality to derive several sets of benchmarks and to measure publication quality over time (e.g., time in grade). We sorted the number of articles individual faculty wrote in the 40 journals by the year in which they earned their doctoral degree.

[PLEASE PLACE EXHIBIT 2 ABOUT HERE]

To encourage faculty collaboration some programs give full credit to each author; others give only partial credit for coauthored articles. We adjusted individual calculations from Exhibit 2 to give partial credit for coauthored articles, (e.g., giving a person who coauthored an article with one other person one-half credit for that article, and one who coauthored an article with two others one-third credit). To address journal quality, we multiplied each article, on a coauthored basis, by the composite rating of journal quality, called a quantity and quality [Q&Q] Composite Score, from Exhibit 1.

Valid comparisons among faculty members should also consider "time in grade," since a 2001 doctoral graduate has much less time to establish a research record than a 1971 graduate. Exhibit 2 standardizes our findings by dividing their results by the number of years faculty members had held their degrees as of 2005. For example, we divided 1971 results by 34, 1972 by 33, and so on. The average faculty member's 1971 – 2005 Q&Q Composite Score was 0.16 articles per year.

We also calculated each article's average number of authors and average quality score for all 35 years in our study, finding an average of 2.28 authors per article and a 1.38 average journal

quality. Since post-1994 results generally exceed these values, the data indicate more recent scholars use more coauthors and write for higher quality journals since 1994. Increased coauthorships patterns could well reflect programs considering coauthoring as a “normal” activity, with each coauthor bringing some critical sets skills to the project.

Since quality research standards should reflect a program’s research mission (e.g., if it offers accounting doctoral degrees), Exhibit 3 presents benchmarks of doctoral graduate publications for the *Best Three* accounting journals that comprise the two highest rated clusters shown in Exhibit 1, i.e., *The Accounting Review*, *The Journal of Accounting Research*, and *The Journal of Accounting and Economics*, omitting the non-accounting journal, *The Journal of Finance*. We found that 75% of all examined doctoral graduate published no articles in these three premier journals; 10.3% published one article; and about 1.6% published over nine articles.

The Best Three accounting journals focus primarily on financial reporting and auditing, while the profession includes other disciplines such as managerial accounting and taxation. Bonner et al. (2006) and Chan et al. (2008) found disproportionately more citations of higher level academic journals in financial accounting, implying a tendency to publish financial accounting research.

Since some programs expect their faculty to publish in journals dedicated to their special interests, rather than in the most respected journals, Exhibit 4 presents a database of faculty publications for the “*Best 13*” journals shown in Exhibit 1—four of which are “business” rather than “accounting” journals.

To expand further the description of journal quality, Exhibit 5 presents a database of doctoral graduate publications for the “*Best 24*” journals that incorporates about half of the journals shown in Exhibit 1. These journals are still selective and well respected overall, as they appear in most of the above listings of high quality research journals, e.g. Stephens et al. (2011) and Coyne et al. (2010).

Exhibit 6 presents a database of doctoral graduate publications for the *Best 40* publications; it offers a level of quality in the top half of all journals to help generalize our benchmarks, including five “business” and five “practitioner” journals. This benchmark seems most useful for teaching institutions and those interested in the quantity of faculty research; it also includes several journals that focus on pedagogical issues, such as the *Journal of Accounting Education* and *Issues in Accounting Education*.

[PLEASE PLACE EXHIBITS 3, 4, 5 AND 6 ABOUT HERE]

Exhibit 6 shows the number of doctoral graduates publishing articles in the 40 journals by year of doctoral graduation. These data indicate that 15% of those earning 1971 - 2005 accounting doctorates published over eight articles, and 32% of them published no articles in the 40 journals in our current study, compared respectively to 11% and 36% in our 2003 study.³ Thus, we find an increased proportion of doctoral graduates now publishing more high-level journal articles, while fewer published no such articles—perhaps reflecting increased levels of scholarly activity. Moreover, the data from Exhibits 4, 5, and 6, for example, show that 61.5%, 52.6%, and 32% of faculty published no articles respectively in either of the Top 13, Top 24, or Top 40 journals; 13.6%, 14.8% and 15.4% published one article; and 5.2%, 7.7%, and 14.7% published over nine articles. To find an appropriate benchmark, users should select the appropriate level of journal quality.

To help faculty set personal research goals and to develop standards for selecting or retaining chaired professorships, Exhibit 7 lists the top-10 researchers for each doctoral-program class over the 1971 and 2005 period, along with the number of articles in Classes I – IV, coauthor adjusted articles, Q & Q Composite Scores, present institution, and doctoral institution.

[PLEASE PLACE EXHIBIT 7 ABOUT HERE]

3. Analysis and Discussion.

We found, not surprisingly, few doctoral graduates publishing in the three premier journals: 75% of them have published no articles in this list, and over 85% have published one or fewer. These benchmarks will likely be useful primarily for the highest rated institutions, such as Chicago or Stanford, which are likely to expect their faculty's publication records to be in the top 10% of all accounting faculty. Expanding the discussion of those publishing in premier journals to include the best 13 journals—four of which are “business” journals—generates a broader coverage to help set benchmarks for schools focusing on strong, but not top or premier academic journals.

Disclosing the proportion of doctoral graduate publishing articles in the top-24 and the top-40 journals should help establish benchmarks for programs encouraging their faculty to publish in a broader set of journals, including practitioner and educational publications. These exhibits could provide benchmarks for institutions that place equal emphasis on teaching and research. For the critical periods of tenure and promotion, perhaps 6 to 10 years, an institution desiring to place in the top third of all institutions could set a benchmark of two or three articles in the best 40 journals.

Exhibit 7 lists the 10 most prolific publishers in terms of full credit articles for each graduation year, separating the 40 journals into four categories. Category I includes the top-three Accounting journals, Category II includes the remainder of the top-13 journals, Category III includes the next 11 ranked journals, and Category IV includes the remaining 16 analyzed journals. The number of full credit articles for each of the four categories is shown for each person. Exhibit 7 includes individuals who moved up the listing by publishing in lower-ranked journals, as well as their Fall 2009 affiliations of these authors and the universities at which they earned their accounting doctoral degrees. These averages could be used as “top quality” benchmarks.

³ Since HRS (2003) study examined the productivity of all 1968-97 accounting doctoral graduates, while the current

4. Limitations and Extensions.

As with all prior studies measuring faculty research productivity or ranking doctoral programs, this study has limitations. First, we compiled data from only 40 journals, omitting data from many other journals and outlets (e.g., monographs) where accountants might publish. We also omitted notes and commentaries appearing in the 40 journals of the study and ignored the productivity of accounting faculty who earned doctoral degrees outside of accounting.

Secondly, we developed a means to determine a composite measure of the quantity and quality of research productivity that is sensitive to the accurate perceptions of those who rate the quality of journals. While not addressing the issue of the quality of individual articles, we used the perceived quality of journals as a surrogate for the quality of specific articles. However, journals of lower perceived quality often publish seminal articles, and not all articles in premier journals are of high quality. Users of such benchmarks should also note that various types of schools have distinct research missions and resources, making comparisons difficult among non-doctoral and doctoral-granting programs, and among research institutions and teaching institutions. Moreover, of course, users should recognize that different benchmark methodologies produce different results.

Future research can extend this list of 40 journals; examine the research records of accounting faculty who have earned doctoral degrees in fields outside of accounting or from non-U.S. programs or analyze these data by the authors' doctoral-granting programs.

5. Conclusions.

We examined 5,604 accounting doctoral graduate publication records in 40 highly rated accounting and business journals considering coauthorship, journal quality, and time in grade. We

one does so for 1971-2005 graduates, the different years of analysis impairs the comparability of these results.

also list the top 10 researchers for years 1971-2005 to provide benchmarks for university decision makers. The results can help users to (1) compare themselves to their national colleagues; (2) assess the required number and quality of articles for P&T and merit pay purposes; (3) help justify granting rank or tenure to outside candidates; and (4) support appointing or renewing faculty to chaired professorships.

REFERENCES

- American Assembly of Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB). 2010. *Accreditation Standards*. (St. Louis, Missouri: AACSB).
- American Institute of CPAs (AICPA) Foundation. 2010. *Accounting Doctoral Scholars Program: Annual Review 2009*. (Durham, North Carolina: AICPA)
- Barniv, R., and D. Fetyko. 2007. Perceived quality of accounting journals. *Journal of Business Issues* 1-27.
- Benjamin, J.J and V.C. Brenner. 1974. Perceptions of Journal Quality. *The Accounting Review*, 49(2): 360-362.
- Brown, L. D., and R.J. Huefner. 1994. The familiarity with and perceived quality of accounting journals: Views of senior accounting faculty in leading U.S. MBA programs. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 11, 223–250.
- Brown, L. D. and J. C. Gardner. 1985A. Applying citation analysis to evaluate the research contributions of Accounting Faculty and Doctoral Programs. *The Accounting Review*, v60 (2), 262-277.
- Brown, L. D. and J. C. Gardner. 1985B. Using citation analysis to assess the impact of journals and articles on Contemporary Accounting Research (CAR). *Journal of Accounting Research*, 23(1), 84-109.
- Bean, D.F., and R.A. Bernardi. 2005. Estimating the ratings of journals omitted in prior quality rankings. *Advances in Accounting Education* 20: 109-127.
- Bonner, S., Hesford, A., Van der Stede, W.A. and S. M. Young. 2006. The most influential journals in academic accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 36 (2): 663-685.
- Chan, K.C., Chan, K.C., Seow, G.S., Tam, K. 2008. Ranking accounting journals using dissertation citation analysis: a research note. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 34: 875-885.
- Coyne, J. G., S.L. Summers, B. Williams, and D.A. Wood. 2010. Accounting program research rankings by topical area and methodology. *Issues in Accounting Education* 25 (4): 631-654.
- Dwyer, P.D. 1994. Gender differences in the scholarly activities of accounting academics: an empirical investigation. *Issues in Accounting Education* 9(2): 231-246.
- Englebrecht, T.D., S. A. Hanke and Y. Kuang. 2008. An assessment of co-authorship within premier journals for U.S. and international accountants: evidence from 1979-2004. *Advances in Accounting* 24: 172-181.

- Everett, T.O., B. Klamm, and R. Stoltzfus. 2004. Developing benchmarks for evaluating publication records at doctoral programs in accounting. *Journal of Accounting Education* 22(3): 229-252.
- Garfield, E. 1955. Citation indexes for science: A new dimension in documentation through association of ideas. *Science* 122 (3159): 108-111.
- Glover, S.M., D. Prawitt and D.A. Wood. 2006. Publication records of faculty promoted at the top 75 accounting research programs. *Issues in Accounting Education* 21(3): 195-218.
- Hall, T.W. and W.R. Ross. 1991. "Contextual Effect in Measuring Accounting Faculty Perceptions of Accounting Journals: An Empirical Test and Updated Journal Rankings," *Advances in Accounting* (1991): 161-182.
- Hasselback, J.R. 2010. *Accounting Faculty Directory 2010-2011* (Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall).
- Hasselback, J. R. and A. 1995A. A proposal for measuring scholarly productivity of accounting faculty. *Issues in Accounting Education*, 10(2): 269-306.
- Hasselback, J. R. and A. 1995B. Assessing accounting doctoral programs by their graduates' research productivity. *Advances in Accounting*, 13(1): 61-86.
- Hasselback, J.R, A. Reinstein and E.S. Schwan. 2000. Benchmarks for evaluating the research productivity of accounting faculty. *Journal of Accounting Education* 18: 79-97.
- Hasselback, J.R, A. Reinstein and E.S. Schwan. 2003. Prolific authors of accounting literature. *Advances in Accounting* 20: 95-125.
- Heck, J.L. and M. Danielson (Forthcoming). Giving credit where credit is due: summary analysis of the most prolific authors in 15 high-impact journals. *Advances in Accounting*.
- Herron, T. L. and T. W. Hall. 2004. Faculty perceptions of journals: quality and publishing feasibility. *Journal of Accounting Education* 22(3): 175-210.
- Howard, T.P. and L.A. Nikolai. 1983. Attitude Measurement and Perceptions of Accounting Faculty Publication Outlets. *The Accounting Review* (October): 765-776.
- Hull, R.P. and G.B. Wright. 1990. Faculty Perceptions of Journal Quality: An Update," *Accounting Horizons* (March): 77-98.
- Jolly, S.A., R.G. Schroeder, and R.K. Spear. 1995. An empirical investigation of the relationship between journal quality ratings and promotion and tenure decisions. *Accounting Educators Journal* (Fall): 47-68.
- Lewis, B. 2008. Judging the journals. *BizEd*. (November/December): 42-45.

- Lucertini, M., F. Nicolo, and D. Telmon. 1995. Integration of benchmarking and benchmarking of integration. *International Journal of Production Economics* (1995): 51-61.
- Matherly, M. and R. T. Shortridge. 2009. A pragmatic model to estimate journal quality in accounting. *Journal of Accounting Education* 27(1): 14-29
- Morris, J.L., R.M. Cudd, and J.L. Crain. 1990. A study of the potential bias in accounting journal ratings: implications for promotion and tenure decisions. *Accounting Educator's Journal*: 46-55.
- Pickerd, J., S.L. Summers, N. Stephens, G., and D.A. Wood. 2011. Individual accounting faculty research rankings by topical area and methodology. *Issues in Accounting Education* (forthcoming).
- Reinstein, A., J.R. Hasselback, M.E. Riley and D. H. Sinason. 2011. Accounting academia using citation indices for promotion and tenure decisions. *Issues in Accounting Education* (forthcoming).
- Reinstein, A. and T. G. Calderon. 2006. Examining accounting departments' rankings of accounting journals. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting* 17(4): 457-490.
- Stephens, N.M., S.L. Summers, B. Williams, and D.A. Wood. 2011. Accounting doctoral program rankings based on research productivity of program graduates. *Accounting Horizons*. Forthcoming.
- Zivney, T.L., W.J. Bertin, and T.A. Gavin. 1995. Publish or perish: what is the competition really doing. *Issues in Accounting Education* (Spring): 1-25.

EXHIBIT 1
QUALITY WEIGHTS OF JOURNALS INCLUDED IN THE STUDY & YEARS EXAMINED

Journal of Accounting Research [1971-2009]	2.25
The Accounting Review [1971-2009]	2.25
Journal of Accounting and Economics [1979-2009]	2.00
Journal of Finance [1971-2009]	2.00 ¹
Accounting, Organizations and Society [1976-2009]	1.60
Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory [1981-2009]	1.60
Contemporary Accounting Research [1984-2009]	1.60
Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance [1977-2009]	1.60
Journal of the American Taxation Association [1979-2009]	1.60
Journal of Finance and Quantitative Analysis [1971-2009]	1.60 ¹
Journal of Financial Economics [1974-2009]	1.60 ¹
Management Science [1971-2009]	1.60 ¹
Review of Accounting Studies [1996-2009]	1.60
Decision Sciences [1971-2009]	1.35 ¹
Journal of Accounting and Public Policy [1982-2009]	1.35
Journal of Business, Finance and Accounting [1974-2009]	1.35
Journal of Taxation [1971-2009]	1.35 ²
National Tax Journal [1971-2009]	1.35
Abacus [1971-2009]	1.15
Accounting and Business Research [1971-2009]	1.15
Accounting Horizons [1987-2009]	1.15
Behavioral Research in Accounting [1989-2009]	1.15
Journal of Accounting Literature [1982-2009]	1.15
Journal of Management Accounting Research [1989-2009]	1.15
Financial Analysts Journal [1971-2009]	1.00 ²
Issues in Accounting Education [1983-2009]	1.00
Journal of Accountancy [1971-2009]	1.00 ²
Advances in Accounting/Advances in International Accounting [1984/1987-2009]	.95
Advances in Taxation [1987-2009]	.95
The International Journal of Accounting [1971-2009]	.95
Journal of Accounting Education [1983-2009]	.95
Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation [1992-2009]	.90
The Journal of Information Systems [1986-2009]	.90
Research in Accounting Regulation [1987-2009]	.90
Research in Governmental and Nonprofit Accounting [1985-2009]	.90
Accounting Educators' Journal [1988-2009]	.85
Accounting Historians Journal [1974-2009]	.85
Critical Perspectives on Accounting [1990-2009]	.85
Strategic Finance/Management Accounting [1971-2009]	.85 ²
The CPA Journal [1971-2009]	.85 ²

¹ Top five rated business journals

² Top five recognized practitioner journals

EXHIBIT 2

DOCTORAL RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY BY YEAR OF DOCTORAL DEGREE (FULL CREDIT ARTICLES, COAUTHOR ADJUSTED ARTICLES, AND Q&Q COMPOSITE SCORES)

Year of Doctoral Degree	Number of Graduates	--- Total Articles ---			--- Articles/Faculty ---			--- Articles/Faculty/Year ---		
		Full Credit Articles	Coauthor Adjusted Articles	Q&Q Composite Scores	Full Credit Articles	Coauthor Adjusted Articles	Q&Q Composite Scores	Full Credit Articles	Coauthor Adjusted Articles	Q&Q Composite Scores
1971	140	518	319.71	423.80	3.70	2.28	3.03	0.09	0.06	0.08
1972	142	621	401.73	564.68	4.37	2.83	3.98	0.12	0.07	0.10
1973	152	648	384.21	551.54	4.26	2.53	3.63	0.12	0.07	0.10
1974	167	723	413.68	575.58	4.33	2.48	3.45	0.12	0.07	0.10
1975	152	749	419.29	563.86	4.93	2.76	3.71	0.14	0.08	0.11
1976	135	649	378.26	471.81	4.81	2.80	3.49	0.14	0.08	0.10
1977	133	803	437.81	638.91	6.04	3.29	4.80	0.18	0.10	0.15
1978	179	1161	635.44	843.80	6.49	3.55	4.71	0.20	0.11	0.15
1979	131	621	330.37	451.48	4.74	2.52	3.45	0.15	0.08	0.11
1980	137	760	388.25	542.56	5.55	2.83	3.96	0.18	0.09	0.13
1981	174	890	461.68	608.88	5.11	2.65	3.50	0.18	0.09	0.12
1982	176	963	501.04	670.67	5.47	2.85	3.81	0.20	0.10	0.14
1983	163	821	420.96	582.26	5.04	2.58	3.57	0.19	0.10	0.13
1984	162	740	375.79	532.46	4.57	2.32	3.29	0.18	0.09	0.13
1985	173	734	384.98	514.62	4.24	2.23	2.97	0.17	0.09	0.12
1986	187	865	438.48	581.80	4.63	2.34	3.11	0.19	0.10	0.13
1987	199	925	477.21	608.38	4.65	2.40	3.06	0.20	0.10	0.13
1988	207	844	425.89	594.56	4.08	2.06	2.87	0.19	0.09	0.13
1989	216	871	450.77	595.39	4.03	2.09	2.76	0.19	0.10	0.13
1990	175	863	409.82	612.28	4.93	2.34	3.50	0.25	0.12	0.17
1991	198	753	384.45	485.59	3.80	1.94	2.45	0.20	0.10	0.13
1992	201	761	356.67	484.35	3.79	1.77	2.41	0.21	0.10	0.13
1993	205	577	272.45	349.31	2.81	1.33	1.70	0.17	0.08	0.10
1994	196	767	366.86	499.46	3.91	1.87	2.55	0.24	0.12	0.16
1995	170	649	302.12	431.84	3.82	1.78	2.54	0.25	0.12	0.17
1996	163	506	246.41	345.42	3.10	1.51	2.12	0.22	0.11	0.15
1997	159	552	259.31	351.88	3.47	1.63	2.21	0.27	0.13	0.17
1998	152	536	249.15	376.03	3.53	1.64	2.47	0.29	0.14	0.21
1999	129	324	153.75	231.46	2.51	1.19	1.79	0.23	0.11	0.16
2000	107	299	145.33	215.68	2.79	1.36	2.02	0.28	0.14	0.20
2001	125	284	140.28	193.44	2.27	1.12	1.55	0.25	0.12	0.17
2002	114	224	108.35	155.49	1.96	0.95	1.36	0.25	0.12	0.17
2003	105	208	93.72	140.35	1.98	0.89	1.34	0.28	0.13	0.19
2004	142	210	103.02	159.67	1.48	0.73	1.12	0.25	0.12	0.19
2005	138	156	75.20	121.02	1.13	0.54	0.88	0.23	0.11	0.18
Totals	5604	22575	11712.44	16070.31						
Averages					4.03	2.09	2.87	0.23	0.12	0.16

EXHIBIT 3

DOCTORAL RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY BY YEAR OF DOCTORAL DEGREE IN THE BEST THREE PREMIER ACCOUNTING JOURNALS

Year of Doctoral Graduation	Number of Graduates	Total Articles	---Number of Faculty by Number of Full Credit Articles---									
			0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9+
1971	140	119	93	20	16	2	2	4	0	2	0	1
1972	142	160	92	19	19	4	1	1	2	0	0	4
1973	152	172	107	18	9	6	2	1	3	0	0	6
1974	167	189	118	25	7	7	1	2	0	0	2	5
1975	152	142	105	17	13	6	1	3	1	2	0	4
1976	135	93	92	26	8	2	1	1	3	1	0	1
1977	133	197	80	24	7	4	4	1	2	1	4	6
1978	179	215	131	17	8	6	2	3	1	3	1	7
1979	131	99	97	15	7	1	2	2	3	1	3	0
1980	137	154	94	20	7	4	2	2	0	0	1	7
1981	174	143	136	13	4	7	3	2	3	0	1	5
1982	176	178	118	27	13	5	1	5	1	0	0	6
1983	163	174	115	17	6	5	4	5	1	4	1	5
1984	162	157	121	20	3	5	4	2	2	0	0	5
1985	173	131	133	16	5	5	2	4	1	5	0	2
1986	187	143	150	15	5	6	2	1	2	1	1	4
1987	199	125	163	17	7	1	3	1	2	0	2	3
1988	207	165	163	15	6	5	4	3	2	3	1	5
1989	216	157	176	17	9	3	0	3	2	1	0	5
1990	175	215	132	12	6	5	2	3	3	1	2	9
1991	198	89	163	17	7	1	3	3	0	4	0	0
1992	201	151	163	17	2	4	2	4	2	1	1	5
1993	205	76	181	11	2	6	1	0	0	0	1	3
1994	196	131	146	22	10	7	4	1	2	1	2	1
1995	170	140	126	16	11	5	1	2	1	4	1	3
1996	163	117	125	12	10	2	7	3	1	0	0	3
1997	159	116	122	13	8	3	4	2	2	2	1	2
1998	152	146	107	10	11	11	6	0	2	2	0	3
1999	129	97	100	11	3	2	5	2	3	1	1	1
2000	107	85	75	12	5	6	5	2	1	0	0	1
2001	125	57	102	8	3	7	3	2	0	0	0	0
2002	114	53	94	7	2	5	3	3	0	0	0	0
2003	105	66	81	8	7	2	2	3	0	1	1	0
2004	142	71	101	24	9	4	3	1	0	0	0	0
2005	138	60	103	20	11	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
Totals	5604	4583	4205	578	266	56	93	77	48	41	28	112
Percents	100%		75	10	5	3	2	1	1	1	0	2

EXHIBIT 4

DOCTORAL RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY BY YEAR OF DOCTORAL DEGREE IN 13 PREMIER ACCOUNTING JOURNALS

Year of Doctoral Graduation	Number of Graduates	Total Articles	---Number of Faculty by Number of Full Credit Articles---									
			0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9+
1971	140	167	91	17	16	4	3	1	3	1	1	3
1972	142	252	87	18	17	3	5	1	2	2	2	5
1973	152	271	91	23	9	8	4	5	1	2	2	7
1974	167	307	108	20	11	9	4	2	2	2	0	9
1975	152	278	93	16	11	8	5	4	4	1	1	9
1976	135	180	82	25	7	5	1	4	3	2	2	4
1977	133	358	65	23	9	10	4	1	0	4	3	14
1978	179	443	109	20	15	5	3	4	4	1	2	16
1979	131	245	86	12	9	4	6	3	1	1	1	8
1980	137	331	73	22	9	10	4	1	1	3	3	11
1981	174	312	106	25	13	4	4	2	2	3	3	12
1982	176	336	105	23	9	7	7	5	4	3	4	9
1983	163	360	96	19	11	6	1	4	3	2	2	19
1984	162	313	101	20	10	6	5	2	1	5	0	12
1985	173	288	110	21	8	6	7	3	5	2	1	10
1986	187	343	119	27	11	1	3	5	1	6	2	12
1987	199	311	118	37	9	9	6	2	4	2	2	10
1988	207	369	141	16	6	7	3	7	3	4	3	17
1989	216	341	137	25	20	8	3	6	4	1	2	10
1990	175	466	99	28	7	3	5	7	3	3	0	20
1991	198	261	131	23	10	5	5	3	7	5	2	7
1992	201	327	128	29	9	7	5	4	1	2	3	13
1993	205	215	144	29	10	5	2	2	2	3	0	8
1994	196	321	120	21	8	14	7	4	4	5	4	9
1995	170	303	92	25	13	10	9	3	2	2	6	8
1996	163	235	106	18	8	8	5	5	3	1	2	7
1997	159	224	95	23	11	10	4	2	2	3	1	8
1998	152	308	79	18	14	6	5	9	8	5	1	7
1999	129	180	82	18	4	2	6	4	4	4	1	4
2000	107	150	57	21	7	3	4	7	5	1	0	2
2001	125	124	78	19	9	6	6	1	2	4	0	0
2002	114	98	76	16	8	4	3	4	1	0	2	0
2003	105	117	70	11	8	6	3	1	0	3	1	2
2004	142	116	85	26	15	10	3	0	3	0	0	0
2005	138	99	84	29	14	6	4	0	0	0	1	0
Totals	5604	9349	3444	763	365	225	154	118	95	88	60	292
Percents	100%		61	14	7	4	3	2	2	2	1	5

EXHIBIT 5

DOCTORAL RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY BY YEAR OF DOCTORAL DEGREE IN 24 PREMIER ACCOUNTING JOURNALS

Year of Doctoral Graduation	Number of Graduates	Total Articles	---Number of Faculty by Full Credit Articles---									
			0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9+
1971	140	223	84	16	15	6	7	2	2	1	1	6
1972	142	337	79	20	14	6	4	3	2	3	2	9
1973	152	384	83	26	6	9	5	4	2	4	1	12
1974	167	387	102	17	10	13	2	4	2	4	3	10
1975	152	409	82	18	15	3	6	4	4	3	2	15
1976	135	272	71	23	8	6	6	4	3	3	2	9
1977	133	514	54	27	7	7	4	6	1	3	1	23
1978	179	615	91	25	12	11	4	5	3	5	2	21
1979	131	362	73	20	6	7	3	7	2	1	1	11
1980	137	449	63	23	9	6	6	6	2	1	3	18
1981	174	462	92	19	20	6	7	5	2	2	4	17
1982	176	523	91	18	12	11	8	4	1	7	3	21
1983	163	463	87	18	14	7	0	5	4	4	1	23
1984	162	455	80	23	14	6	5	7	5	6	2	14
1985	173	401	90	20	17	9	5	5	9	3	4	11
1986	187	512	98	29	13	7	2	3	3	8	4	20
1987	199	474	90	38	21	8	10	6	2	5	3	16
1988	207	510	112	28	11	14	2	4	5	3	3	25
1989	216	464	117	29	17	13	11	8	3	1	1	16
1990	175	604	83	29	14	5	6	6	4	0	2	26
1991	198	396	103	32	17	8	5	7	6	3	3	14
1992	201	438	105	42	11	2	10	4	2	7	2	16
1993	205	301	121	38	17	7	3	4	1	2	1	11
1994	196	442	100	29	11	14	7	4	6	2	9	14
1995	170	414	84	19	15	10	11	4	3	3	9	12
1996	163	306	92	21	9	9	7	10	3	2	1	9
1997	159	294	83	24	13	8	6	4	7	5	0	9
1998	152	380	70	17	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	9
1999	129	226	73	18	6	6	3	6	4	7	1	5
2000	107	211	46	17	11	8	6	7	5	2	2	3
2001	125	172	68	19	13	7	5	4	4	3	1	1
2002	114	139	61	19	13	7	6	5	1	0	1	1
2003	105	149	60	14	9	11	3	1	1	2	1	3
2004	142	136	81	25	16	12	3	1	2	2	0	0
2005	138	114	78	30	16	9	4	0	0	0	0	1
Totals	5604	12938	2947	830	443	288	191	167	113	113	81	431
Percents	100%		53	15	8	5	3	3	2	2	1	8

EXHIBIT 6

DOCTORAL RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY BY YEAR OF DOCTORAL DEGREE IN 40 PREMIER ACCOUNTING JOURNALS

Year of Doctoral Graduation	Number		---Number of Faculty by Number Full Credit Articles---									
	of Graduates	Total Articles	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9+
1971	140	518	52	16	12	11	9	8	7	3	3	19
1972	142	621	56	16	16	8	6	7	6	3	6	18
1973	152	648	60	21	10	9	12	4	6	2	3	25
1974	167	723	68	16	11	13	11	2	5	10	8	23
1975	152	749	49	20	18	10	10	2	3	4	2	34
1976	135	649	40	19	14	6	9	4	8	7	7	21
1977	133	803	40	14	11	8	6	7	6	7	4	30
1978	179	1162	51	24	11	13	11	5	9	8	10	37
1979	131	621	41	24	9	11	4	6	9	2	2	23
1980	137	760	35	19	8	13	9	7	5	8	3	30
1981	174	890	59	16	11	13	15	7	7	7	7	32
1982	176	964	52	19	15	13	15	8	8	2	3	41
1983	163	821	46	23	15	15	7	5	6	8	2	36
1984	162	740	47	25	16	12	11	6	2	7	5	31
1985	173	734	51	22	21	14	8	6	11	8	5	27
1986	187	865	56	33	18	13	6	6	4	5	7	39
1987	199	925	50	29	16	19	12	11	13	7	6	36
1988	207	844	63	31	21	18	13	5	8	6	5	37
1989	216	871	60	33	18	22	14	11	17	8	5	28
1990	175	863	48	31	20	8	7	9	6	7	4	35
1991	198	753	58	26	19	18	6	13	15	6	11	26
1992	201	762	59	37	21	11	10	13	6	9	1	34
1993	205	577	80	32	26	14	8	11	7	3	5	19
1994	196	767	53	30	26	14	16	9	7	5	3	33
1995	170	649	54	22	16	9	17	5	5	7	9	26
1996	163	506	60	27	14	9	8	11	9	4	3	18
1997	159	552	45	26	14	20	9	3	7	8	5	22
1998	152	536	45	23	14	12	8	7	10	8	8	17
1999	129	324	48	22	17	6	6	7	6	6	2	9
2000	107	300	30	20	11	9	9	8	8	1	5	6
2001	125	285	39	28	17	11	9	4	7	2	3	5
2002	114	225	38	24	19	10	9	6	2	2	1	3
2003	105	208	43	23	9	9	7	4	0	5	1	4
2004	142	210	54	36	23	12	5	5	3	3	1	0
2005	138	156	62	34	20	13	6	2	0	0	0	1
Totals	5604	22581	1792	861	557	426	328	234	238	188	155	825
Percents	100%		32	15	10	8	6	4	4	3	3	15

EXHIBIT 7

RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY OF MOST PRODUCTIVE ACCOUNTING DOCTORATES FOR THE YEARS 1971-2005 IN 40 JOURNALS

Year of PhD/DBA	Name	Full Credit Articles	I	II	III	IV	Coauthor Adjusted Articles	Q&Q Composite Scores	Present Affiliation	Doctoral Program
1971										
	Miller, Paul B. W.	28	0	0	4	24	21.00	20.20	Colorado Spr	Tx-Austin
	Watts, Ross L.	28	19	6	3	0	14.65	27.13	MIT	Chicago
	Reichardt, Karl E.	23	0	0	0	23	12.33	10.48	Valparaiso	Missouri
	Graham, John R.	22	2	15	3	2	11.83	20.06		Arkansas
	Bailey, Andrew D. Jr.	19	7	7	0	5	7.92	13.45	Illinois	Ohio St
	Largay, James A. III	19	3	3	5	8	10.67	15.29	Lehigh	Cornell
	Guy, Dan M.	18	1	0	0	17	8.25	8.12	AICPA	Alabama
	Cerullo, Michael J.	12	0	0	0	12	10.33	8.78	Missouri St	LSU
	Greer, Willis R. Jr.	12	2	2	4	4	9.00	12.47	No Iowa	Michigan
	Salamon, Gerald L.	12	7	1	4	0	7.00	13.18	Indiana	Ohio St
1972										
	Ohlson, James A.	51	21	28	2	0	36.50	67.46	New York U	Berkeley
	Previts, Gary John	51	1	2	9	39	29.50	28.54	Case Western	Florida
	Riahi-Belkaoui, Ahmed	41	3	5	15	18	37.33	47.32	Ill-Chicago	Syracuse
	Ball, Raymond J.	32	20	8	3	1	18.50	35.30	Chicago	Chicago
	Abdel-khalik, A. Rashad	30	19	7	2	2	23.83	46.35	Illinois	Illinois
	Choi, Frederick D. S.	19	1	1	2	15	17.00	17.80	New York U	U Wash
	Dilley, Steven C.	19	3	1	1	14	10.50	11.57	Michigan St	Wisconsin
	Hagerman, Robert L.	17	6	5	1	5	10.17	16.31	SUNY-Buffalo	Rochester
	Johnson, L. Todd	17	2	0	8	7	10.08	11.57	FASB	Michigan
	Deakin, Edward B.	16	10	0	2	4	11.67	21.47	Texas	Illinois
1973										
	Gordon, Lawrence A.	38	3	8	23	4	20.42	27.97	Maryland	Rensselaer
	Sunder, Shyam	37	19	7	9	2	27.67	48.29	Yale	Car Mellon
	Ashton, Robert H.	31	17	8	3	3	21.33	39.82	Duke	Minnesota
	Collins, Daniel W.	28	20	6	0	2	12.08	23.22	Iowa	Iowa
	Imhoff, Eugene A. Jr.	25	9	5	5	6	17.67	26.18	Michigan	Mich St
	Coffman, Edward N.	24	2	0	4	18	10.00	10.57	Virg Comm	Geo Wash
	Epstein, Marc J.	24	0	2	1	21	14.67	13.44	Rice	Oregon
	Schnee, Edward J.	24	0	4	10	10	12.83	15.48	Alabama	Mich St
	Jacobs, Fred A.	20	1	2	8	9	9.33	10.83	Auburn-Montg	Georgia
	Boatsman, James R.	19	11	2	4	2	8.92	15.27	Arizona St	Tx-Austin
1974										
	Libby, Robert	40	28	10	1	1	20.50	41.52	Cornell	Illinois
	Hughes, John S.	35	19	15	1	0	16.07	31.37	UCLA	Purdue
	Ferris, Kenneth R.	34	3	13	7	11	19.92	27.93	Arizona St	Ohio St
	Zimmerman, Jerold L.	25	22	1	0	2	15.40	30.74	Rochester	Berkeley
	Baiman, Stanley	24	17	6	1	0	12.42	24.65	Pennsylvania	Stanford
	Holder, William W.	21	1	3	1	16	12.17	14.19	So Calif	Oklahoma
	Liao, Woody M.	20	4	1	9	6	12.67	17.59	Cal-Riversid	Florida
	Schultz, Joseph J. Jr.	20	5	6	4	5	9.33	13.87	Arizona St	Tx-Austin
	Bremser, Wayne G.	19	3	0	0	16	12.50	13.81	Villanova	Penn
	Blocher, Edward J.	18	3	4	1	10	11.00	14.18	No Carolina	Tx-Austin
	Collins, Frank	18	3	3	2	10	8.83	11.92	Tx A&M Intl	Houston
	Magee, Robert P.	18	13	4	1	0	11.58	24.43	Northwestern	Cornell
1975										
	Dirsmith, Mark W.	42	0	25	7	10	19.17	26.16	Penn State	Nrthwstrn
	Fellingham, John C.	33	7	10	10	6	12.95	20.11	Ohio State	UCLA
	Flesher, Dale L.	31	1	3	3	24	16.33	16.43	Mississippi	Cincinnati
	Foster, George	28	12	9	6	1	19.33	35.00	Stanford	Stanford
	Harrell, Adrian M.	24	2	11	6	5	12.58	17.54	So Carolina	Tx-Austin
	Lorek, Kenneth S.	23	11	2	3	7	9.58	16.68	No Arizona	Illinois

Vickrey, Don W.	21	5	1	12	3	14.33	20.75	Arizona St	Tx-Austin
Baker, C. Richard	20	2	1	2	15	14.83	17.02	Adelphi	UCLA
Givoly, Dan	20	10	5	2	3	9.67	17.08	Penn State	NYU
Campbell, David R.	18	0	2	3	13	10.08	10.42	Drexel	Georgia
1976									
Bloom, Robert	43	0	1	3	39	20.58	19.75	John Carroll	NYU
Englebrecht, Ted D.	42	1	4	4	33	20.33	20.41	Louisiana Te	S Carol
Maples, Larry	32	0	0	10	22	23.33	25.48	Tenn State	Miss St
Dillard, Jesse F.	28	1	6	8	13	17.25	20.98	Portland St	S Carol
Graham, Lynford E.	23	0	8	0	15	13.50	14.44		Penn
Porcano, Thomas M.	22	1	4	1	16	14.58	17.52	Miami U-Ohio	Indiana
Gibbins, Michael	21	7	11	3	0	10.25	18.27	Univ Alberta	Cornell
Pastena, Victor S.	18	11	6	0	1	7.92	15.39	SUNY-Buffalo	NYU
Ro, Byung T.	17	5	5	6	1	10.33	17.48	Purdue	Mich St
Patton, James M.	15	6	1	2	6	8.08	12.94	Pittsburgh	Wash U
Weirich, Thomas R.	14	0	0	1	13	6.57	5.77	Cen Michigan	Missouri
1977									
Ingram, Robert W.	44	13	4	9	18	22.75	34.31	Alabama	Tx Tech
Dhaliwal, Dan S.	42	17	15	9	1	19.33	33.10	Arizona	Arizona
Ketz, J. Edward	26	3	4	6	13	14.83	19.32	Penn State	Va Tech
Romney, Marshall B.	26	0	1	0	25	12.83	12.17	Brigham Yg	Tx-Austin
Schipper, Katherine	26	14	6	6	0	12.53	22.35	Duke	Chicago
McEnroe, John E.	24	0	2	10	12	16.17	17.88	DePaul	Kentucky
Welker, Robert B.	24	4	4	7	9	9.92	13.80	So Illinois	Ariz St
Pratt, Jamie H.	23	10	8	1	4	12.00	20.08	Indiana	Indiana
Wolfson, Mark A.	23	12	6	3	2	9.75	17.84	Stanford	Tx-Austin
Hillison, William A.	22	2	2	6	12	8.32	10.63	Florida St	Florida
1978									
Reckers, Philip M. J.	79	5	20	20	34	34.67	42.90	Arizona St	Illinois
Wallace, Wanda A.	53	3	8	4	38	42.92	47.40	Wm & Mary	Florida
Larcker, David F.	49	29	13	7	0	22.08	41.89	Stanford	Kansas
Shields, Michael D.	43	7	23	11	2	20.25	31.21	Michigan St	Pittsburgh
Munter, Paul	42	1	1	2	38	21.33	19.44	KPMG	Colorado
Pany, Kurt J.	38	7	8	7	16	15.67	21.58	Arizona St	Illinois
Ratcliffe, Thomas A.	37	0	1	1	35	22.42	20.19	Troy	Alabama
Penman, Stephen H.	34	16	15	3	0	24.17	43.62	Columbia	Chicago
Schwartz, Bill N.	26	0	3	4	19	14.17	15.17	Stevens Inst	UCLA
Merchant, Kenneth A.	24	4	11	4	5	14.75	21.49	So Calif	Berkeley
1979									
Wright, Arnold M.	57	6	23	13	15	30.08	42.34	Northeastern	S Calif
Raman, K. K.	38	5	12	13	8	20.17	29.99	North Texas	Indiana
Messier, William F. Jr.	29	6	17	4	2	14.25	22.93	Nev-L Vegas	Indiana
Covaleski, Mark A.	28	0	18	6	4	11.92	17.16	Wisconsin	Penn St
Solomon, Ira	25	8	10	6	1	11.00	18.68	Illinois	Tx-Austin
Mensah, Yaw M.	21	5	3	12	1	13.00	20.85	Rutgers-N Br	Illinois
Giroux, Gary A.	20	2	2	10	6	9.33	12.15	Texas A&M	Tx Tech
Smith, David B.	19	8	4	2	5	7.48	12.70	Nebraska	Illinois
Baldwin, Bruce A.	16	4	0	0	12	10.08	13.58	Ariz St-West	Ariz St
Flesher, Tonya K.	16	1	1	1	13	8.00	8.61	Mississippi	Miss
1980									
Banker, Rajiv D.	54	17	24	6	7	22.03	35.66	Temple	Harvard
Reinstein, Alan	33	0	2	2	29	14.75	13.76	Wayne State	Kentucky
Tondkar, Rasoul H.	25	0	0	1	24	9.33	8.95	Virg Comm	North Tx
DeAngelo, Linda E.	24	8	15	0	1	13.50	24.87	S Calif	U Wash
Evans, John H. III	24	13	3	6	2	10.00	17.85	Pittsburgh	Car Mellon
Bamber, E. Michael	23	3	10	3	7	11.25	16.13	Georgia	Ohio St
Baber, William R.	20	11	2	6	1	10.67	18.78	George Wash	N Carol
Arrington, C. Edward	19	2	6	4	7	9.67	12.04	N Car-Greens	Fla St
Holthausen, Robert W.	19	13	6	0	0	9.42	18.62	Pennsylvania	Rochester
Roth, Harold P.	18	0	0	1	17	11.00	10.03	Tennessee	Va Tech

1981									
Chow, Chee W.	68	8	13	17	30	30.42	40.37	San Diego St	Oregon
Knight, Lee G.	47	0	0	5	42	22.33	21.38	Wake Forest	Alabama
Knechel, W. Robert	31	6	12	8	5	19.58	29.33	Florida	N Carol
Robinson, John R.	26	4	10	9	3	10.25	15.96	Texas-Austin	Michigan
Stone, Mary S.	24	5	3	9	7	12.40	16.66	Alabama	Illinois
Hooks, Karen L.	22	0	4	4	14	12.48	13.51	Fla Atlantic	Geo St
Samson, William D.	22	0	1	3	18	11.50	11.27	Alabama	N Carol
Murray, Dennis F.	21	4	5	6	6	12.00	17.27	Colo-Denver	Mass
Waller, William S.	21	10	9	1	1	11.17	21.06	Arizona	U Wash
White, Richard A.	19	2	7	1	9	9.00	12.43	So Carolina	Ariz St
1982									
Kaplan, Steven E.	55	2	19	21	13	27.17	36.02	Arizona St	Illinois
Stout, David E.	46	0	0	3	43	19.40	18.32	Youngstown	Pittsburgh
Borthick, A. Faye	29	1	1	1	26	15.67	15.28	Georgia St	Tennessee
Ettredge, Michael L.	27	5	9	5	8	9.42	13.42	Kansas	Tx-Austin
Lys, Thomas Z.	27	18	8	1	0	12.40	23.31	Northwestern	Rochester
Abdolmohammadi, Mohammad	23	1	5	7	10	13.75	16.98	Bentley	Indiana
Wilson, Earl R.	22	5	2	6	9	10.00	14.17	Missouri	Missouri
Apostolou, Nicholas G.	20	1	2	5	12	8.00	8.51	W Virginia	Tennessee
Schneider, Arnold	20	3	2	8	7	11.58	16.44	Georgia Tech	Ohio St
Dugan, Michael T.	19	0	2	6	11	8.00	8.83	Alabama	Tennessee
Lambert, Richard A.	19	16	1	2	0	9.83	20.13	Pennsylvania	Stanford
Larkins, Ernest R.	19	0	4	3	12	11.08	12.40	Georgia St	Va Tech
1983									
Smith, L. Murphy	32	0	2	1	29	15.50	14.69	Texas A&M	La Tech
Hassell, John M.	31	7	2	2	20	11.50	15.23	Indiana-Indy	Indiana
Douppnik, Timothy S.	24	0	1	2	21	14.33	14.09	So Carolina	Illinois
Young, S. Mark	22	3	9	8	2	8.87	13.33	So Calif	Pittsburgh
Bamber, Linda Smith	21	6	7	2	6	9.17	15.64	Georgia	Ohio St
Richardson, Gordon D.	21	5	13	1	2	7.83	13.09	Univ Toronto	Cornell
Sullivan, Julie H.	19	7	7	3	2	8.50	14.37	Cal-SanDiego	Florida
Simon, Daniel T.	19	5	3	2	9	8.67	12.06	Notre Dame	Nrthwstrn
Healy, Paul M.	18	10	5	2	1	8.58	15.57	Harvard	Rochester
Haw, In-Mu G.	18	5	9	1	3	6.08	9.95	Tx Christian	Alabama
Schaefer, Thomas F.	18	5	4	3	6	8.00	12.05	Notre Dame	Illinois
1984									
Landsman, Wayne R.	40	19	10	8	3	16.58	28.79	No Carolina	Stanford
McNichols, Maureen F.	27	17	7	2	1	12.75	24.68	Stanford	UCLA
Waymire, Gregory B.	26	17	6	3	0	13.67	27.64	Emory	Chicago
Easton, Peter	23	14	3	6	0	12.50	23.37	Notre Dame	Berkeley
Read, William J.	23	0	2	6	15	10.12	10.72	Bentley	Va Tech
Holmes, Sarah A.	22	0	1	5	16	9.23	9.54	East Central	North Tx
Thomas, Jacob K.	20	11	7	1	1	10.33	19.88	Yale	Michigan
Srinidhi, Bin N.	19	3	8	7	1	10.83	16.74	SUNY-Albany	Columbia
Jain, Prem C.	17	6	9	0	2	10.87	20.04	Georgetown	Florida
Williams, David D.	17	3	7	5	2	8.50	12.99	Ohio State	Penn St
1985									
Bedard, Jean C.	31	7	13	4	7	12.17	19.38	Bentley	Wisconsin
Rezaee, Zabihollah	30	2	4	2	22	18.58	18.99	Memphis	Miss
Strawser, Jerry R.	29	1	5	12	11	14.42	17.66	Texas A&M	Tx A&M
Datar, Srikant M.	24	11	11	0	2	8.70	15.92	Harvard	Stanford
Siegel, Philip H.	21	0	2	1	18	8.08	8.00	Wis-Eau Clar	Memphis
Shaw, Wayne H.	18	7	10	1	0	10.33	19.82	So Methodist	Tx-Austin
Zarowin, Paul A.	18	10	8	0	0	10.00	19.04	New York U	Chicago
Steinbart, Paul John	16	2	1	4	9	8.83	10.95	Arizona St	Mich St
Melumad, Nahum D.	15	7	7	0	1	7.00	13.17	Columbia	Berkeley
Ndubizu, Gordian A.	15	1	1	3	10	9.83	11.32	Drexel	Temple
1986									
Kothari, S. P.	40	24	15	0	1	16.73	31.60	MIT	Iowa
Shevlin, Terry	30	17	10	3	0	13.33	24.70	U Washington	Stanford
King, Ronald R.	28	10	14	4	0	13.50	24.28	Wash Univ	Arizona

Balakrishnan, Ramji	22	4	10	5	3	12.00	18.33	Iowa	Columbia
Hite, Peggy A.	22	1	6	3	12	12.58	14.79	Indiana	Colorado
Krishnan, Gopal V.	22	1	9	7	5	13.58	18.70	Lehigh	North Tx
Church, Bryan K.	20	2	5	7	6	9.00	12.12	Georgia Tech	Florida
Pasewark, William R.	20	0	2	5	13	8.42	9.48	Texas Tech	Tx A&M
Kim, Jeong-Bon	18	1	4	8	5	6.83	8.79	Concordia U	Temple
Billings, B. Anthony	17	0	0	3	14	7.83	7.25	Wayne State	Tx A&M
Viator, Ralph E.	17	0	5	3	9	10.33	12.32	Texas Tech	Tx A&M
1987									
Cohen, Jeffrey R.	36	1	10	9	16	15.50	18.45	Boston Coll	Mass
Street, Donna L.	28	0	0	3	25	13.00	12.24	Dayton	Tennessee
Tyson, Thomas N.	26	0	0	4	22	17.33	15.86	St John Fshr	Geo St
Francis, Jennifer	25	22	2	1	0	10.70	22.62	Duke	Cornell
DeFond, Mark L.	22	14	6	1	1	9.92	18.53	So Calif	U Wash
Sutton, Steve G.	22	0	3	4	15	9.58	9.95	Cen Florida	Missouri
Bricker, Robert J.	20	2	7	5	6	10.42	14.23	Case Western	Case Wes
Lundholm, Russell J.	20	10	8	2	0	12.25	23.01	Michigan	Iowa
Roberts, Robin W.	19	1	5	2	11	10.83	12.47	Cen Florida	Arkansas
Beneish, Messod D.	18	6	5	5	2	10.50	16.96	Indiana	Chicago
1988									
Geiger, Marshall A.	36	1	9	5	21	18.62	21.27	Richmond	Penn St
Ryan, Stephen G.	23	12	7	1	3	11.58	21.05	New York U	Stanford
Sivaramakrishnan, K.	21	9	8	4	0	9.42	16.52	Houston	Nrthwstrn
Bonner, Sarah E.	19	11	5	2	1	9.33	16.92	So Calif	Michigan
Ali, Ashiq	18	10	6	2	0	7.83	14.85	Texas-Dallas	Columbia
Kachelmeier, Steven J.	18	7	6	3	2	8.92	14.71	Texas-Austin	Florida
Akers, Michael D.	17	0	0	0	17	7.08	6.22	Marquette	Miss
Apostolou, Barbara A.	15	0	0	3	12	6.33	6.24	W Virginia	LSU
Roberts, Michael L.	15	0	5	4	6	8.83	11.34	Colo-Denver	Geo St
Schadewald, Michael S.	15	2	3	1	9	7.45	9.35	Wis-Milwauke	Minnesota
Wheeler, Stephen W.	15	3	5	3	4	5.25	7.85	Pacific	Ariz St
1989									
Barth, Mary E.	40	23	9	6	2	18.25	33.35	Stanford	Stanford
Fogarty, Timothy J.	37	0	7	6	24	19.50	21.53	Case Western	Penn St
Skinner, Douglas J.	30	19	9	1	1	18.32	33.60	Chicago	Rochester
Khurana, Inder K.	24	6	8	5	5	9.89	15.77	Missouri	Ariz St
Bartov, Eli	19	11	8	0	0	10.00	19.67	New York U	Berkeley
Bushman, Robert M.	18	14	4	0	0	7.50	15.57	No Carolina	Minnesota
Warfield, Terry D.	18	5	1	5	7	8.08	11.17	Wisconsin	Iowa
Ponemon, Lawrence A.	16	1	7	1	7	11.33	14.73		Union-NY
Stevens, Kevin T.	16	0	1	1	14	8.08	7.85	DePaul	Kentucky
Indjekian, Raffi J.	15	12	3	0	0	6.92	14.44	Michigan	Penn
1990									
Raghunandan, K.	53	3	21	15	14	21.17	28.53	Fla Internat	Iowa
Carcello, Joseph V.	31	4	10	9	8	10.50	15.18	Tennessee	Geo St
Sansing, Richard C.	28	10	14	4	0	18.14	32.17	Dartmouth	Tx-Austin
Nelson, Mark W.	26	14	10	2	0	11.58	21.94	Cornell	Ohio St
Rajan, Madhav V.	26	17	8	1	0	12.33	25.25	Stanford	Car Mellon
Shackelford, Douglas A.	23	14	6	3	0	10.17	19.70	No Carolina	Michigan
Koonce, Lisa	22	8	10	3	1	9.50	16.54	Texas-Austin	Illinois
Lang, Mark H.	21	15	5	1	0	9.08	18.44	No Carolina	Chicago
Adhikari, Ajay	20	0	0	3	17	8.17	8.03	American U	Va Comm
Lee, Charles M. C.	20	7	11	1	1	9.83	18.69	Stanford	Cornell
Petroni, Kathy R.	20	11	7	2	0	8.33	15.72	Michigan St	Michigan
1991									
Balsam, Steven	20	4	6	6	4	10.67	14.83	Temple	Baruch
Tuttle, Brad M.	17	0	8	6	3	8.00	10.72	So Carolina	Ariz St
Amir, Eli	16	7	6	3	0	8.33	15.69	London Bus	Berkeley
Ghosh, Dipankar	16	0	3	11	2	11.50	14.33	Oklahoma	Penn St
Young, Joni J.	16	0	8	0	8	12.33	15.31	New Mexico	Illinois
Ramsay, Robert J.	15	1	5	6	3	6.58	9.52	Kentucky	Indiana
Cullinan, Charles P.	14	0	1	5	8	9.08	9.76	Bryant	Kentucky

	Krishnan, Jagan	14	2	7	5	0	6.67	10.84	Temple	Ohio St
	Huddart, Steven J.	13	7	4	2	0	7.83	13.33	Penn State	Yale
	Wahlen, James M.	13	7	4	1	1	5.33	10.17	Indiana	Michigan
	Green, Brian Patrick	12	0	2	0	10	4.92	5.09	Mich-Dearbrn	Kent St
	Ivancevich, Daniel M.	12	0	0	0	12	4.50	4.01	N Car-Wilmin	Tx A&M
	Jamal, Karim	12	4	7	1	0	5.00	8.96	Univ Alberta	Minnesota
	Mande, Vivek	12	0	4	5	3	6.67	8.32	CS-Fullerton	UCLA
1992										
	Sloan, Richard G.	28	20	8	0	0	12.83	25.55	Cal-Berkeley	Rochester
	Lowe, D. Jordan	26	1	6	9	10	9.81	11.82	Arizona St	Ariz St
	Ittner, Christopher D.	21	10	7	4	0	9.25	16.22	Pennsylvania	Harvard
	Beatty, Anne	18	12	4	1	1	8.83	16.16	Ohio State	MIT
	Glover, Jonathan C.	18	5	10	2	1	6.62	11.34	Carnegie Mel	Ohio St
	Cloyd, C. Bryan	17	5	7	4	1	8.83	15.53	Virg Tech	Indiana
	Bernardi, Richard A.	16	0	3	0	13	8.50	8.43	Roger Wm	Union-NY
	Luft, Joan L.	16	5	5	6	0	8.92	14.10	Michigan St	Cornell
	Fordham, David R.	15	0	0	0	15	9.82	8.81	Jms Madison	Fla St
	Grant, C. Terry	13	0	0	1	12	5.08	5.02	CS-Fullerton	Fla St
	Gigler, Frank B.	13	12	1	0	0	6.25	13.72	Minnesota	Minnesota
	Hirst, D. Eric	13	8	3	1	1	6.17	11.87	Texas-Austin	Minnesota
	Johnson, Marilyn F.	13	6	6	1	0	5.08	9.03	Michigan St	U Wash
1993										
	Hermanson, Dana R.	46	0	10	13	23	16.92	18.92	Kennesaw St	Wisconsin
	Dechow, Patricia M.	18	10	8	0	0	7.58	14.52	Cal-Berkeley	Rochester
	Subramanyam, K. R.	18	12	4	2	0	8.42	16.53	So Calif	Wisconsin
	Rees, Lynn L.	17	4	6	3	4	9.17	13.32	Texas A&M	Ariz St
	Salterio, Steven E.	15	3	12	0	0	7.20	12.28	Queen's Univ	Michigan
	Barron, Ori E.	12	8	4	0	0	4.67	9.53	Penn State	Oregon
	Fargher, Neil L.	12	0	7	3	2	4.67	6.52	Australian N	Arizona
	Prawitt, Douglas F.	12	3	4	2	3	4.83	8.00	Brigham Yg	Arizona
	Anderson, Shannon W.	11	2	5	4	0	6.17	9.72	Rice	Harvard
	Elder, Randal J.	11	2	4	1	4	4.17	6.16	Syracuse	Mich St
	Larson, Robert K.	11	0	0	0	11	6.33	5.83	Dayton	Utah
	Mastracchio, Nicholas J.	11	0	0	0	11	7.17	6.32		Union-NY
1994										
	Hunton, James E.	60	7	8	12	33	30.08	35.49	Bentley	Tx-Arlin
	Beasley, Mark S.	21	2	6	2	11	8.33	11.55	N Carol St	Mich St
	Wilkins, Michael S.	19	2	9	5	3	7.83	11.53	Texas A&M	Arizona
	Maydew, Edward L.	18	12	3	3	0	7.83	15.40	No Carolina	Iowa
	Vafeas, Nikos	15	1	6	7	1	11.42	16.98	Cyprus	Kansas
	Brewer, Peter C.	13	0	0	0	13	7.67	6.93	Miami U-Ohio	Tennessee
	Behn, Bruce K.	13	2	4	2	5	5.33	7.07	Tennessee	Ariz St
	Boone, Jeff P.	13	1	3	4	5	6.58	9.32	Tx-S Antonio	North Tx
	Dutta, Sunil	13	8	5	0	0	6.58	13.35	Cal-Berkeley	Minnesota
	Glover, Steven M.	13	3	5	2	3	5.17	8.43	Brigham Yg	U Wash
	McAnally, Mary Lea	13	6	4	2	1	5.08	8.82	Texas A&M	Stanford
1995										
	Thomas, Wayne B.	23	7	3	9	4	9.67	15.10	Oklahoma	Okla St
	DeZoort, F. Todd	17	0	8	7	2	7.50	10.35	Alabama	Alabama
	Core, John E.	16	9	7	0	0	6.67	12.76	Pennsylvania	Penn
	Aboody, David	15	11	4	0	0	7.08	14.57	UCLA	Berkeley
	Houston, Richard W.	15	2	5	5	3	6.33	8.76	Alabama	Indiana
	Kasznik, Ron	15	11	4	0	0	6.42	13.10	Stanford	Berkeley
	Walther, Beverly R.	15	8	6	1	0	6.00	11.57	Northwestern	Chicago
	Christensen, Theodore E.	14	2	3	8	1	5.17	7.43	Brigham Yg	Georgia
	Herrmann, Donald R.	14	2	0	6	6	6.17	7.53	Oklahoma St	Okla St
	Bierstaker, James L.	13	0	3	3	7	5.17	5.92	Villanova	Conn
	Hopkins, Patrick E.	13	7	2	1	3	5.50	10.07	Indiana	Tx-Austin
1996										
	Mills, Lillian F.	20	5	8	7	0	10.39	17.27	Texas-Austin	Michigan
	Erickson, Merle M.	19	11	6	2	0	8.08	15.57	Chicago	Arizona
	Ayers, Benjamin C.	18	5	11	2	0	6.98	12.56	Georgia	Tx-Austin

Phillips, Fred	18	1	0	0	17	10.00	11.21	Saskatchewan	Tx-Austin
Ballou, Brian	16	0	1	4	11	7.08	7.27	Miami U-Ohio	Mich St
Venkatachalam, Mohan	15	10	5	0	0	6.75	13.39	Duke	Iowa
Bryant, Stephanie M.	14	0	1	2	11	7.00	7.17	South Fla	LSU
Sprinkle, Geoffrey B.	13	4	4	3	2	6.25	10.29	Indiana	Iowa
Cataldo, Anthony J. II	11	0	0	1	10	8.50	8.00	West Chester	Va Tech
Kadous, Kathryn	11	4	7	0	0	5.33	9.83	Emory	Illinois
1997									
Johnstone, Karla M.	19	4	6	4	5	8.33	12.62	Wisconsin	Conn
Nichols, Nancy B.	16	0	2	2	12	6.58	7.03	Jms Madison	North Tx
Pacini, Carl J.	15	0	0	3	12	4.98	5.01	Fl GulfCoast	Fla St
Mayhew, Brian W.	13	5	8	0	0	5.33	10.16	Wisconsin	Arizona
Skaife, Hollis	13	7	1	2	3	6.17	9.78	Wisconsin	Iowa
Leone, Andrew J.	12	9	2	1	0	4.75	8.75	U Miami	Pittsburgh
Moehrle, Stephen R.	12	1	0	1	10	5.83	6.70	Mo-St Louis	Indiana
Lehavy, Reuven	11	4	6	0	1	5.58	9.93	Michigan	Nrthwstrn
Marquardt, Carol A.	11	6	3	2	0	5.67	10.78	CUNY-Baruch	Cornell
Mahoney, Lois S.	11	0	0	0	11	5.33	4.96	E Michigan	Cen Fla
Mauldin, Elaine G.	11	2	1	3	5	6.92	8.19	Missouri	Nebraska
Richardson, Vernon J.	11	2	0	3	6	4.42	5.36	Arkansas	Illinois
1998									
Rajgopal, Shivaram	20	12	7	0	1	7.75	15.06	U Washington	Iowa
Guay, Wayne R.	19	10	8	1	0	9.17	16.95	Pennsylvania	Rochester
Norman, Carolyn Strand	15	0	0	2	13	5.03	4.88	Virg Comm	Tx A&M
Davila, Antonio	14	3	9	1	1	7.25	11.85	Univ Navarra	Harvard
Hatfield, Richard C.	13	3	4	2	4	6.17	8.70	Alabama	Florida
Reitenga, Austin L.	12	0	5	3	4	4.58	6.05	Alabama	Kentucky
Zhang, Xiao-Jun	12	9	2	1	0	6.00	12.18	Cal-Berkeley	Columbia
Neal, Terry L.	11	3	3	4	1	4.08	6.63	Tennessee	Tennessee
Krishnan, Ranjani	10	7	2	1	0	4.42	8.72	Michigan St	Pittsburgh
Nissim, Doron	10	2	7	0	1	4.50	7.94	Columbia	Berkeley
Riley, Richard A. Jr.	10	0	4	1	5	3.25	4.17	W Virginia	Tennessee
Vermeer, Thomas E.	10	0	2	2	6	4.92	5.42	Delaware	North Tx
Willis, Richard H.	10	6	4	0	0	3.92	7.86	Vanderbilt	Chicago
1999									
Ke, Bin	14	11	3	0	0	6.33	12.93	Penn State	Mich St
Kohlbeck, Mark J.	13	0	4	2	7	6.58	7.93	Fla Atlantic	Tx-Austin
Liu, Jing	12	6	5	0	1	4.42	8.28	UCLA	Columbia
Nagar, Venky	12	8	2	2	0	6.83	13.36	Michigan	Penn
Agoglia, Christopher P.	10	4	2	1	3	3.50	5.65		Mass
Mikhail, Michael B.	9	4	5	0	0	3.00	5.58	Arizona St	Chicago
Piotroski, Joseph D.	9	6	2	1	0	4.67	9.59	Stanford	Michigan
Shortridge, Rebecca T.	9	0	0	3	6	4.33	4.54	No Illinois	Mich St
Widener, Sally K.	9	1	4	3	1	4.67	6.80	Rice	Colorado
Wheeler, Patrick R.	8	1	0	2	5	4.00	4.36	Missouri	Geo St
Wu, Joanna Shuang	8	7	0	0	1	3.50	6.81	Rochester	Tulane
2000									
Mueller, Jennifer M.	12	0	0	3	9	6.42	6.33	Auburn	Va Tech
Heitger, Dan L.	10	0	0	2	8	4.75	4.78	Miami U-Ohio	Mich St
Hodge, Frank D.	10	4	5	1	0	4.75	8.40	U Washington	Indiana
Yetman, Robert J.	10	3	3	4	0	5.48	9.20	Cal-Davis	N Carol
Sedatole, Karen L.	9	3	2	2	2	4.08	6.68	Michigan St	Michigan
Weber, Joseph P.	9	9	0	0	0	4.00	8.50	MIT	Penn St
Bradshaw, Mark T.	8	6	1	1	0	5.00	9.74	Boston Coll	Michigan
Robinson, Dahlia	8	1	3	1	3	4.50	5.98	South Fla	Georgia
Wilks, T. Jeffrey	8	2	3	3	0	3.75	6.49	Brigham Yg	Cornell
Watson, Marcia Weidenmier	8	0	0	0	8	3.67	3.27	Miss State	Tx-Austin
Zhou, Jian	8	0	3	3	2	3.92	4.81	SUNY-Bingham	Syracuse
2001									
Hope, Ole-Kristian	15	3	4	6	2	7.67	11.55	Univ Toronto	Nrthwstrn
Myring, Mark J.	11	1	1	1	8	5.08	5.35	Ball State	Kent St
Janvrin, Diane J.	10	0	1	2	7	4.83	4.92	Iowa State	Iowa

Bradford, Marianne	9	0	0	0	9	3.67	3.23	N Carol St	Tennessee
Hodder, Leslie D.	9	5	2	1	1	3.08	5.57	Indiana	Tx-Austin
Brown, Kevin F.	8	0	0	0	8	3.50	3.11	Wright State	Case Wes
Parsons, Linda M.	8	1	1	3	3	4.67	5.63	Alabama	Houston
Yee, Kenton K.	8	0	6	1	1	7.33	10.88		Stanford
Louis, Henock	7	4	3	0	0	4.50	8.58	Penn State	Ohio St
Brown, Stephen	6	3	1	2	0	1.98	3.44	Maryland	Nrthwstrn
Burney, Laurie L.	6	0	1	1	4	2.42	2.55	Miss State	Kentucky
Krull, Linda K.	6	5	1	0	0	2.92	6.26	Oregon	Arizona
Lightner, Teresa A.	6	0	3	0	3	3.33	4.17	North Texas	Oklahoma
Mercer, Molly	6	3	1	2	0	3.67	6.26	Arizona St	Tx-Austin
Myers, Linda A.	6	3	3	0	0	2.08	4.04	Arkansas	Michigan
Pereira, Raynolde	6	2	3	0	1	1.92	3.21	Missouri	Arizona
Yetman, Michelle H.	6	3	0	3	0	2.50	4.27	Cal-Davis	N Carol
2002									
Hayes, David C.	13	0	0	0	13	5.67	5.47	Jms Madison	S Fla
Mittendorf, Brian	11	5	3	2	1	5.58	9.40	Ohio State	Ohio St
Cheng, Qiang	9	5	3	0	1	5.00	9.61	Wisconsin	Wisconsin
Holder-Webb, Lori L.	8	1	1	1	5	3.67	4.48	W New Eng	Tx A&M
Towry, Kristy L.	7	3	3	0	1	2.95	5.72	Emory	Tx-Austin
Wilkinson, Brett R.	7	0	0	1	6	3.00	2.97	Baylor	Tx Tech
Hilary, Gilles	6	4	1	0	1	3.67	6.09	HEC Paris	Chicago
Pinsker, Robert E.	6	0	0	3	3	3.00	3.14	Old Dominion	S Fla
Hofmann, Mary Ann	5	0	2	2	1	3.75	4.84	Appalach St	Ariz St
Jenkins, David S.	5	0	0	4	1	2.67	3.00	Delaware	Maryland
Krische, Susan D.	5	4	1	0	0	2.25	5.00	Illinois	Cornell
Markov, Stanimir	5	5	0	0	0	2.17	4.54	Texas-Dallas	Rochester
Shakespeare, Catherine	5	3	2	0	0	1.75	3.47	Michigan	Illinois
Vandervelde, Scott D.	5	0	4	1	0	1.92	2.98	So Carolina	Iowa
2003									
Richardson, Scott A.	17	8	7	2	0	7.58	12.92	Barclays	Michigan
Li, Oliver Zhen	12	5	6	1	0	4.92	8.72	Arizona	Arizona
Brandon, Duane M.	10	0	2	1	7	3.92	4.16	Auburn	Va Tech
Hanlon, Michelle	10	7	0	3	0	5.00	9.10	MIT	U Wash
Soliman, Mark T.	8	5	3	0	0	3.17	6.33	U Washington	Michigan
Chen, Shuping	7	4	3	0	0	2.50	4.89	Texas-Austin	S Calif
Kang, Tony	7	1	3	2	1	3.08	4.33	Oklahoma St	Illinois
Tsakumis, George T.	7	0	0	3	4	3.33	3.52	Drexel	S Carol
Tuna, Irem	7	5	2	0	0	2.17	4.38	London Bus	Michigan
Yang, Ya-wen	7	0	0	2	5	3.50	3.60	Wake Fr-MBA	Tennessee
2004									
Brazel, Joseph F.	8	2	2	0	4	3.83	4.84	N Carol St	Drexel
Blouin, Jennifer L.	7	4	2	1	0	2.42	4.78	Pennsylvania	N Carol
Lin, Haijin H.	7	1	2	4	0	2.73	4.19	Houston	Car Mellon
Samuels, Janet A.	7	0	1	1	5	2.58	2.66	Arizona St	Ariz St
Crabtree, Aaron D.	6	0	2	0	4	2.50	2.94	Nebraska	Va Tech
Cohen, Daniel A.	6	4	2	0	0	2.42	4.58	New York U	Nrthwstrn
McVay, Sarah E.	6	5	1	0	0	2.67	5.62	Utah	Michigan
Hossain, Mahmud	5	0	0	3	2	2.00	2.25	Memphis	Baruch
Loraas, Tina M.	5	0	0	0	5	3.00	2.73	Auburn	Tx A&M
Sandino, Tatiana	5	3	0	0	2	2.53	4.79	So Calif	Harvard
VanDenburgh, William M.	5	0	0	0	5	2.33	2.14	Jms Madison	LSU
Yoo, Yong Keun	5	0	3	2	0	1.67	2.45	Korea Univ	Columbia
2005									
LaFond, Ryan	9	8	0	1	0	2.92	6.19	Industry	Wisconsin
Anderson, Mary M.	5	0	0	0	5	2.08	1.80	So Miss	La Tech
Henry, Elaine	5	0	0	3	2	2.42	2.65	U Miami	Rutgers
Gong, Guojin	4	2	2	0	0	1.33	2.48	Penn State	Iowa
Jiang, Wei	4	1	1	0	2	1.67	2.41	CS-Fullerton	Rutgers
Rogers, Jonathan L.	4	4	0	0	0	2.33	5.04	Chicago	Penn
Sadka, Gil	4	2	2	0	0	2.17	4.33	Columbia	Chicago
Tang, Vicki Wei	4	2	2	0	0	1.67	3.19	Georgetown	Michigan
Williamson, Michael G.	4	1	2	0	1	2.17	3.38	Texas-Austin	Indiana
14 tied with 3									